112th Congress Source State St
To protect homes, small businesses, and other private property rights by limiting the power of eminent domain.
IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
Mr. Cornyn (for himself and Mr. Paul) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on
A BILL
To protect homes, small businesses, and other private property rights by limiting the power of eminent domain.
1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
4 This Act may be cited as the "Protection of Homes,
5 Small Businesses, and Private Property Act of 2012".

6 SEC. 2. FINDINGS.

Congress finds the following:

(1) The protection of homes, small businesses,

and other private property rights against govern-

ment seizures and other unreasonable government

7

8

9

10

 ${\rm HEN12507}$ S.L.C.

	2
1	interference is a fundamental principle and core
2	commitment of our Nation's founders.
3	(2) As Thomas Jefferson wrote on April 6,
4	1816, the protection of such rights is "the first prin-
5	ciple of association, the guarantee to every one of a
6	free exercise of his industry, and the fruits acquired
7	by it".
8	(3) The Fifth Amendment of the United States
9	Constitution specifically provides that "private prop-
10	erty' shall not "be taken for public use, without just
11	compensation".
12	(4) The Fifth Amendment thus provides an es-
13	sential guarantee of liberty against the abuse of the
14	power of eminent domain, by permitting government
15	to seize private property only "for public use".
16	(5) On June 23, 2005, the United States Su-
17	preme Court issued its decision in Kelo v. City of
18	New London, 545 U.S. 469.
19	(6) As the Court acknowledged, "it has long
20	been accepted that the sovereign may not take the
21	property of A for the sole purpose of transferring it
22	to another private party B", and that under the
23	Fifth Amendment, the power of eminent domain

may be used only "for public use".

24

1 (7) The Court nevertheless held, by a 5-4 vote, 2 that government may seize the home, small business, 3 or other private property of one owner, and transfer 4 that same property to another private owner, simply 5 by concluding that such a transfer would benefit the 6 community through increased economic development. 7 (8) The Court's decision in Kelo is alarming be-8 cause, as Justice O'Connor accurately noted in her 9 dissenting opinion, joined by the Chief Justice and 10 Justices Scalia and Thomas, the Court has "effec-11 tively . . . delete[d] the words 'for public use' from 12 the Takings Clause of the Fifth Amendment" and 13 thereby "refuse[d] to enforce properly the Federal 14 Constitution". 15 (9) Under the Court's decision in Kelo, Justice O'Connor warns, "The specter of condemnation 16 17 hangs over all property. Nothing is to prevent the 18 State from replacing any Motel 6 with a Ritz-19 Carlton, any home with a shopping mall, or any 20 farm with a factory.". 21 Justice O'Connor further warns that, 22 under the Court's decision in Kelo, "Any property 23 may now be taken for the benefit of another private 24 party", and "the fallout from this decision will not 25 be random. The beneficiaries are likely to be those

1 citizens with disproportionate influence and power in 2 the political process, including large corporations 3 and development firms. As for the victims, the gov-4 ernment now has license to transfer property from 5 those with fewer resources to those with more. The 6 Founders cannot have intended this perverse re-7 sult.". 8 (11) It is appropriate for Congress to take ac-9 tion, consistent with its limited powers under the 10 Constitution, to restore the vital protections of the 11 Fifth Amendment and to protect homes, small busi-12 nesses, and other private property rights against un-13 reasonable government use of the power of eminent 14 domain. 15 (12) It would also be appropriate for States to 16 take action to voluntarily limit their own power of 17 eminent domain. As the Court in Kelo noted, "noth-18 ing in our opinion precludes any State from placing 19 further restrictions on its exercise of the takings 20 power.". 21 SEC. 3. PROTECTION OF HOMES, SMALL BUSINESSES, AND 22 OTHER PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS. 23 (a) In General.—The power of eminent domain shall be available only for public use.

1	(b) Public Use.—In this Act, the term "public use"
2	shall not include economic development.
3	(c) APPLICATION.—This Act shall apply to—
4	(1) all exercises of eminent domain power by
5	the Federal Government; and
6	(2) all exercises of eminent domain power by a
7	State or local government using Federal funds.